

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA
Alexandria Division**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

v.

ZACKARY ELLIS SANDERS,

Defendant.

Case No. 1:20-cr-00143
Honorable T.S. Ellis, III

Pretrial conference: Jan. 15, 2021
Trial: Feb. 9, 2021

CONSENT MOTION TO SEAL AND MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF SAME

Pursuant to Local Criminal Rule 49(E), defendant, Zackary Ellis Sanders, through counsel, moves for an order permitting the defense to file under seal portions of Mr. Sanders's Reply to the Government's Position on the Court's Order to Show Cause ("Reply"). A proposed order is attached for the consideration of the Court.¹ The Government has previously consented to Mr. Sanders filing under seal material that relates to discovery that is under a protective order. Mr. Sanders seeks sealing for the same reasons here. In support of this motion, the defense further states:

I. Item to be Filed Under Seal, and Necessity for Sealing

A. Mr. Sanders asks the Court to seal portions of his Reply that contain verbatim transcripts of statements from Government counsel at a hearing that was held under seal. *See* 1:20-

¹ The document to be filed under seal will be filed with the Court non-electronically pursuant to Local Criminal Rule 49(E) and the Electronic Case Filing Policies and Procedures (*see* p. 21). Pursuant to the Local Rules, the sealed document is to be treated as sealed pending the outcome of this motion.

MJ-114 ECF No. 28. The defense has endeavored to seal only the minimum necessary portion of its Reply and has filed a redacted version on the public docket.

B. Filing the Reply partially under seal is necessary because it contains discussion of material that is under a protective order or that the Court has previously ordered sealed. *See* 1:20-MJ-114 ECF No. 28. The defense has not moved to seal information that the Government has previously moved to unseal or that the Government has disclosed in its publicly available filings.

C. Counsel for Mr. Sanders has considered procedures other than filing under seal and none will suffice to protect disclosure of this information subject to a protective order.

II. Previous Court Decisions Which Concern Sealing Documents

The Court has the inherent power to seal materials submitted to it. *See United States v. Wuagneux*, 683 F.2d 1343, 1351 (11th Cir. 1982); *State of Arizona v. Maypenny*, 672 F.2d 761, 765 (9th Cir. 1982); *Times Mirror Company v. United States*, 873 F.2d 1210 (9th Cir. 1989); *see also Shea v. Gabriel*, 520 F.2d 879 (1st Cir. 1975); *United States v. Hubbard*, 650 F.2d 293 (D.C. Cir. 1980); *In re Braughton*, 520 F.2d 765, 766 (9th Cir. 1975). “The trial court has supervisory power over its own records and may, in its discretion, seal documents if the public’s right of access is outweighed by competing interests.” *In re Knight Pub. Co.*, 743 F.2d 231, 235 (4th Cir. 1984).

III. Period of Time to Have the Document Under Seal

The materials to be filed under seal would need to remain sealed as long as the protective order remains in effect.

Accordingly, Mr. Sanders respectfully requests that this Court enter an order allowing the defense to file under seal portions of Mr. Sanders’s Reply.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/

Jonathan Jeffress (#42884)

Emily Voshell (#92997)

Jade Chong-Smith (admitted *pro hac vice*)

KaiserDillon PLLC

1099 Fourteenth St., N.W.; 8th Floor—West

Washington, D.C. 20005

Telephone: (202) 683-6150

Facsimile: (202) 280-1034

Email: jjeffress@kaiserdillon.com

Email: evoshell@kaiserdillon.com

Email: jchong-smith@kaiserdillon.com

Counsel for Defendant Zackary Ellis Sanders

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on January 4, 2021, I electronically filed the foregoing with the Clerk of Court using the CM/ECF system, which will then send a notification of such filing to counsel of record.

/s/ Jonathan Jeffress
Jonathan Jeffress

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA
Alexandria Division**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

v.

ZACKARY ELLIS SANDERS,

Defendant.

Case No. 1:20-cr-00143

Honorable T.S. Ellis, III

Pretrial conference: Jan. 15, 2021

Trial: Feb. 9, 2021

[PROPOSED] SEALING ORDER

This matter having come before the Court on the Defendant's Consent Motion for Leave to File Under Seal pursuant to Local Criminal Rule 49(E). Accordingly, for good cause shown, the Motion for Leave to File Under Seal is granted.

It is so ORDERED.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

Date: _____

Alexandria, Virginia